Presenter: Hannah Chen

2023/06/14





Overview

What are Potential Outcomes?

The Fundamental Problem of Causality & How to Get Around with It.

Key Assumptions

Randomized Control Trials

Warning: examples are heavily biased towards cats

Estimating the effect of treatment/policy on the outcome of interest.

Get a cat







Estimating the effect of treatment/policy on the outcome of interest.

Get a cat





Causal effect

Don't get a cat





Estimating the effect of treatment/policy on the outcome of interest.

Get a cat





No causal effect

Don't get a cat





Potential Outcomes Framework

Neyman-Rubin causal model



Jerzy Neyman



Donald Rubin

Does X cause Y?

If so, what's the magnitude of the effect?

Individual Treatment Effects (ITE)

$$do(T = 1)$$
 $Y_i(1) := Y_i | do(T = 1)$





$$\mathrm{do}(T=0)$$





Y_i: observed outcome

 $Y_i(t)$: potential outcome

Individual Treatment Effects (ITE)



 $ITE = Y_i(1) - Y_i(0) = 1 - 0 = 1$



Factual

Counterfactual



Counterfactual

 $\mathrm{do}(T=0)$





Factual

We cannot observe $Y_i(1)$, $Y_i(0)$ at the same time

 $do(T = 1) \qquad Y_i(1) = 1$

Counterfactual

 $\mathrm{do}(T=0)$





Factual

Not a problem for ML models! We can observe both in "simulations"

i	T	Y	Y(1)	Y(0)	Y(1) - Y(0)
0	0	0	?	0	?
1	1	1	1	?	?
2	0	0	?	0	?
3	0	0	?	0	?
4	1	0	0	?	?
5	1	1	1	?	?

Missing data problem

How to get around with it?

Average Treatment Effect (ATE)

$$\mathbb{E}[Y(1) - Y(0)] = \mathbb{E}[Y|T=1] - \mathbb{E}[Y|T=0]$$

i	T	Y	Y(1)	Y(0)	Y(1) - Y(0)
0	0	0	?	0	?
1	1	1	1	?	?
2	0	0	?	0	?
3	0	0	?	0	?
4	1	0	0	?	?
5	1	1	1	?	?

Average Treatment Effect (ATE)

$$\mathbb{E}[Y(1) - Y(0)] = \mathbb{E}[Y|T=1] - \mathbb{E}[Y|T=0]$$

i	T	Y	Y(1)	Y(0) $Y(1) - Y(0)$
0	0	0		0	?
1	1	1	1		?
2	0	0		1	?
3	0	0		0	?
4	1	0	0		?
5	1	1	1		?

$$\frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3}$$

Average Treatment Effect (ATE)

Causal difference Associational difference

i	T	Y	<i>Y</i> (1)	Y(0)	Y(1) - Y(0)
0	0	0		0	?
1	1	1	1		?
2	0	0		1	?
3	0	0		0	?
4	1	0	0		?
5	1	1	1		?

This keeps happening. How heavy are cats?





Cat ownership is highly correlated with faster Internet speed









Cat ownership is highly correlated with faster Internet speed





Cat ownership is highly correlated with faster Internet speed

Common cause: Higher income



Cat ownership is highly correlated with faster Internet speed



Groups are incomparable

$$\mathbb{E}[Y(1) - Y(0)] \not\cong \mathbb{E}[Y|T = 1] - \mathbb{E}[Y|T = 0]$$



Groups are incomparable

$$\mathbb{E}[Y(1) - Y(0)] \not\cong \mathbb{E}[Y|T = 1] - \mathbb{E}[Y|T = 0]$$



When Groups are comparable

$$\mathbb{E}[Y(1) - Y(0)] = \mathbb{E}[Y|T = 1] - \mathbb{E}[Y|T = 0]$$



ATE = Associational Difference

If the following assumptions are satisfied:

- 1. Ignorability / Exchangeability
- 2. Identifiability

Ignore how the treatment was assigned Assume random assignment



Ignore how the treatment was assigned

Assume random assignment



$\mathbb{E}[Y(1) - Y(0)] = \mathbb{E}[Y(1)|T = 1] - \mathbb{E}[Y(0)|T = 0]$

i	Т	Y	Y(1)	Y(0)	Y(1) - Y(0)
0	0	0	?	0	?
1	1	1	1	?	?
2	0	0	?	0	?
3	0	0	?	0	?
4	1	0	0	?	?
5	1	1	1	?	?

Ignore the missing data problem



$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[Y(1)-Y(0)] &= \mathbb{E}[Y(1)|T=1] - \mathbb{E}[Y(0)|T=0] \quad \text{(ignorability)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}[Y|T=1] - \mathbb{E}[Y|T=0] \end{split}$$

i	Т	Y	Y(1)) }	Z (0)) $Y(1) - Y(0)$
0	0	0			0	?
1	1	1	1			?
2	0	0			0	?
3	0	0			0	?
4	1	0	0			?
5	1	1	1			?





$$\mathbb{E}[Y(1) - Y(0)] = \mathbb{E}[Y|T=1] - \mathbb{E}[Y|T=0]$$











 $\mathbb{E}[Y(1)|T = t] = \mathbb{E}[Y(1)]$ $\mathbb{E}[Y(0)|T = t] = \mathbb{E}[Y(0)]$

 $\Rightarrow (Y(1), Y(0)) \perp T$



Identifiability

A causal quantity is **identifiable** if we can compute from a purely statistical quantity. $\mathbb{E}[Y(t)]$

$$\begin{split} \underline{\mathbb{E}[Y(1) - Y(0)]} &= \mathbb{E}[Y(1)|T = 1] - \mathbb{E}[Y(0)|T = 0] \quad \text{(ignorability)} \\ \hline \\ \mathbf{Causal quantities}} &= \mathbb{E}[Y|T = 1] - \mathbb{E}[Y|T = 0] \end{split}$$

Statistical quantities

Other Assumptions

Positivity: 0 < P(T = 1 | X = x) < 1

Stable unit-treatement value assumption (SUTVA)

- \rightarrow No interference: outcome is unaffected by others' treatment
- \rightarrow Consistency: If T = t, then Y(t) = Y

How do we achieve the assumptions realisticlly?

Ans: Randomized control trial (RCT)







 $\mathbb{E}[Y(1) - Y(0)] \not\cong \mathbb{E}[Y|T = 1] - \mathbb{E}[Y|T = 0]$



 $\mathbb{E}[Y(1) - Y(0)] \not\cong \mathbb{E}[Y|T = 1] - \mathbb{E}[Y|T = 0]$





 $\mathbb{E}[Y(1) - Y(0)] \not\succeq \mathbb{E}[Y|T=1] - \mathbb{E}[Y|T=0]$



 $\mathbb{E}[Y(1) - Y(0)] = \mathbb{E}[Y|T = 1] - \mathbb{E}[Y|T = 0]$

What if we can't do RCT?

We can't always randomize treatment **Ethical reasons**: smoking → lung cancer **Infeasibility**: gender → discrimination **Impossibility**: a person's DNA → obesity

Active research: Causal inference in observational studies

Conditional Exchangeability

Exchangeability



$(Y(1),Y(0))\perp\!\!\!\perp T$





 $(Y(1), Y(0)) \perp T \mid X$

Conditional Exchangeability



$(Y(1),Y(0))\perp\!\!\!\perp T$

(Unconfoundedness) Conditional exchangeability

 $(Y(1), Y(0)) \perp T \mid X$

Conditional Average Treatment Effect (CATE)

Conditional exchangeability

 $(Y(1), Y(0)) \perp T \mid X$

Conditional ATE

 $\mathbb{E}[Y(1) - Y(0) | X] = \mathbb{E}[Y(1) | X] - \mathbb{E}[Y(0) | X]$ $= \mathbb{E}[Y(1) | T = 1, X] - \mathbb{E}[Y(0) | T = 0, X]$ $= \mathbb{E}[Y | T = 1, X] - \mathbb{E}[Y | T = 0, X]$

Adjusting for Confounders

$\mathbb{E}[Y(1) - Y(0)] = \mathbb{E}_X[\mathbb{E}[Y(1) \mid X] - \mathbb{E}[Y(0) \mid X]]$ $= \mathbb{E}_X[\mathbb{E}[Y \mid T = 1, X] - \mathbb{E}[Y \mid T = 0, X]]$



Adjusting for Confounders

$\mathbb{E}[Y(1) - Y(0)] = \mathbb{E}_X[\mathbb{E}[Y(1) \mid X] - \mathbb{E}[Y(0) \mid X]]$ $= \mathbb{E}_X[\mathbb{E}[Y \mid T = 1, X] - \mathbb{E}[Y \mid T = 0, X]]$



A set of variables W satisfy the **backdoor criterion** if:

- 1. W blocks all backdoor path from T to Y
- 2. W does not contain any descendants of T

Backdoor adjustment

$$P(y \mid do(t)) = \sum_{w} P(y \mid t, w) P(w)$$

Unconfoundedness is Untestable

Conditional exchangeability $(Y(1), Y(0)) \perp T \mid X$



Unconfoundedness is Untestable

Conditional exchangeability $(Y(1), Y(0)) \not\ge T \mid X$



Summary

Potential outcomes

How to estimate causal effect

When association is causation (& when is not)

How to estimate causal effect with a confounder







Looking for Volunteers

Please fill out the form if you'd like to present (topic/paper)

Link can be found on Slack